

EPC Technical Validation Subsets

Messages Delivery Note

Implementation Guidelines v3.x

Approved in June 2008

I. Core Messages

In the framework of the Core Technical Validation Subset messages aligned with the Implementation Guidelines for Credit Transfer v3.2 (ref. EPC 114-06) , for Core Direct Debit v3.1 (ref. EPC 115-06) and for B2B Direct Debit v1.1 (ref. EPC 301-07), as approved in June 2008, the following messages have been developed:

FIToFICustomerCreditTransfer.EPCCoreV03	\$pacs.008.002.03.xsd
FIToFICustomerDirectDebit.EPCCoreV03	\$pacs.003.002.03.xsd
PaymentStatusReport.EPCCoreV03	\$pacs.002.002.03.xsd
PaymentReturn.EPCCoreV03	\$pacs.004.002.03.xsd
FIToFIPaymentReversal.EPCCoreV03	\$pacs.007.002.03.xsd

II. Message naming conventions

The following message name identification numbering has been applied:

All messages for the Core Technical Validation Subset, have been numbered following the scheme pacs.xxx.002.03 :

- 'xxx' is the message number of the original ISO message (eg. pacs.**003**.002.03 is the Core TVS message derived from the original ISO pacs.**003**.001.01 message, pacs.**002**.002.03 is the Core TVS message derived from the original ISO pacs.**002**.001.03 message)
- '002' identifies that the message schema's are the Core Technical Validation Subsets (ie not the same message schemas) as the original ISO messages
- and '03' identifies that this is the version of the Core Technical Validation Subset messages aligned with the Implementation Guidelines v3.x, as approved in June 2008.

Where possible, TVS usage rules have been structurally implemented in the schema's (eg, limitation of code lists, options to choose from, etc). Some other TVS usage rules could not be accommodated through a structural adaptation in the schema, and need to be documented as pure 'usage rules' in the Implementation Guidelines.